Message

From: Smith, Kelley [Smith.Kelley@epa.gov]

Sent: 9/1/2016 1:58:38 PM

To: McQueen, Jacqueline [McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov]; Fegley, Robert [Fegley.Robert@epa.gov]; LaVay, Maggie

[LaVay.Maggie@epa.gov]; Drumm, Heather [Drumm.Heather@epa.gov]; Flowers, Lynn [Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov];

Rodia, Monica [Rodia.Monica@epa.gov]; Washburn, Edward [Washburn.Edward@epa.gov]; Dannel, Mimi

[Dannel.Mimi@epa.gov]; Burden, Susan [Burden.Susan@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: OSP Weekly Report for the Week of August 29 – September 2, 2016

Sounds fair. We chose to leave the number off ©

From: McQueen, Jacqueline

Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2016 7:19 AM

To: Fegley, Robert <Fegley.Robert@epa.gov>; Smith, Kelley <Smith.Kelley@epa.gov>; LaVay, Maggie

<LaVay.Maggie@epa.gov>; Drumm, Heather <Drumm.Heather@epa.gov>; Flowers, Lynn <Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov>;

Rodia, Monica <Rodia.Monica@epa.gov>; Washburn, Edward <Washburn.Edward@epa.gov>; Dannel, Mimi

<Dannel.Mimi@epa.gov>; Burden, Susan <Burden.Susan@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: OSP Weekly Report for the Week of August 29 – September 2, 2016

The short answer to the questions is no. The number is changing daily, and we have agreed to not identify the locations, except to note that they are in various census regions. So we should not list them out here. I think this is as far as we can go. If you think this is too nebulous, feel free to delete the bullet.

From: Fegley, Robert

Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 3:13 PM

To: Smith, Kelley <<u>Smith.Kelley@epa.gov</u>>; LaVay, Maggie <<u>LaVay.Maggie@epa.gov</u>>; Drumm, Heather

<<u>Orumm.Heather@epa.gov</u>>; Flowers, Lynn <<u>Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov</u>>; Rodia, Monica <<u>Rodia.Monica@epa.gov</u>>;

Washburn, Edward < Washburn. Edward@epa.gov >; Dannel, Mimi < Dannel. Mimi@epa.gov >; Burden, Susan

<Burden.Susan@epa.gov>; McQueen, Jacqueline < McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: OSP Weekly Report for the Week of August 29 - September 2, 2016

cc'ing Jackie as to your first question

I think the edits are fine on the second one, but I added a little bit (which I know is not what you want...)

From: Smith, Kelley

Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 1:21 PM

To: Fegley, Robert < Fegley.Robert@epa.gov>; LaVay, Maggie < LaVay.Maggie@epa.gov>; Drumm, Heather

<Drumm.Heather@epa.gov>; Flowers, Lynn <Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov>; Rodia, Monica

<Rodia_Monica@epa_gov>; Washburn, Edward <Washburn.Edward@epa_gov>; Dannel, Mimi

<Dannel.Mimi@epa.gov>; Burden, Susan < Burden.Susan@epa.gov>

Subject: OSP Weekly Report for the Week of August 29 - September 2, 2016

Good Afternoon All,

The first draft of this week's report is below for your review. Please send any edits or additional blurbs to include by this afternoon.

Thank you,

OSP Weekly Report Week of August 29 – September 2, 2016

Tire Crumb Sample Collection Continues

- This week the U.S. Army plans to collect samples from nine synthetic turf fields and anticipates completing all sample collection by the end of September.
- CDC completed its audit of the Army collection process last week and this week will collect tire crumb samples from a tire recycling facility. Several other tire recycling facilities have agreed to permit sample collection at their facilities.
- The Tire Crumb Research Team is working to finalize locations and agreements with municipalities to collect field samples. Is there a # we could add or if the number is low would it be a good idea to list out the municipalities?

Legionella

- On August 30, OSP represented ORD at a briefing for the OW DAA on a final technical paper entitled, "Legionella: Current Knowledge of Treatment Technologies in Premise Plumbing Systems." The paper is a compendium of the peer-reviewed literature on the use and effectiveness of drinking water treatment technologies for the bacteria Legionella. It has been externally peer-reviewed and the plan is to publish the final document by the end of September 2016. OW has asked for ORD's confirmation that we are comfortable with the report. We do not expect issues, but OSP will review the final document again as soon as OW makes it available.
- In addition OSP particularly appreciates the work of three scientists from ORD, Darren Lytle (NRMRL), Stacy Pfaller (NERL) and Mark Rodgers (NRMRL). They co-authored several sections of the document, including background, occurrence, case studies, risk and available treatment technologies. These scientists received a 2014 ORD Honor Award for Exceptional/Outstanding ORD Technical Assistance to the Program Office, for which they were nominated by OSP and OW.

Gold King Mine Technical Assistance

Last week, OSP's Superfund and Technology Liaison in Region 8, Stephen Dyment, along with technical experts from EPA, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, the Bureau of Land Management, and the US Forest Service, visited the <u>Bonita Peak Mining District</u> (BPMD), which includes the Gold King Mine, where they met with local officials and stakeholders and participated in planning meetings. The technical team evaluated the current water treatment system at the Gold King Mine and are developing a comprehensive data management strategy. A draft report representing findings and recommendations, including stakeholder input, will be available in October.